arXiv:1507.04409v1 [math.AP] 15 Jul 2015

ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY BETWEEN THE SURFACE MEASURE AND HARMONIC MEASURE IMPLIES RECTIFIABILITY

STEVE HOFMANN, JOSÉ MARÍA MARTELL, SVITLANA MAYBORODA, XAVIER TOLSA,
AND ALEXANDER VOLBERG

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we prove that for any open connected set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$, and any $E \subset \partial\Omega$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^n(E) < \infty$ absolute continuity of the harmonic measure ω with respect to the Hausdorff measure on E implies that $\omega|_E$ is rectifiable.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for bounded Wiener regular domains $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$ 3
3.1. Relationship between harmonic measure and the the Green function 4
3.2. The dyadic lattice of David and Mattila 5
3.3. The Frostman measure 7
3.4. The bad cubes 7
3.5. The key lemma 8
4. The proof of Theorem 1.1 for a general domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$ 11
5. Proof of Theorem 1.1 in the planar case $n + 1 = 2$ 15
5.1. Logarithmic capacity, Wiener regular points and Green function 15
5.2. Proof of the Key Lemma in the planar case $n + 1 = 2$ 15
5.3. End of the proof Theorem 1.1 in the planar case $n + 1 = 2$ 19
References 19

1. INTRODUCTION

In [AMT] the authors showed that absolute continuity of the harmonic measure with respect to the Hausdorff measure on E implies rectifiability of $\omega|_E$ under an additional assumption that Ω is *porous* in a neighborhood of E. In the present work we remove the

---

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1361701. The second author has been supported in part by ICMAT Severo Ochoa project SEV-2011-0087 and he acknowledges that the research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ ERC agreement no. 615112 HAPDEGMT. The third author is supported in part by the Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship, the NSF INSPIRE Award DMS 1344235, NSF CAREER Award DMS 1220089 and NSF UMN MRSEC Seed grant DMR 0212302. The fourth author was supported by the ERC grant 320501 of the European Research Council (FP7/2007-2013), by 2014-SGR-75 (Catalonia), MTM2013-44304-P (Spain), and by the Marie Curie ITN MAnET (FP7-607647). The last author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1265549. The results of this paper were obtained while the authors were participating in the *Research in Paris* program at the *Institut Henri Poincaré*. All authors would like to express their gratitude to this institution for the support and the nice working environment.

aforementioned porosity assumption. This manuscript will be combined with [AMT] for publication, and for that reason we only present a shortened version of the introduction highlighting the statements of the final results.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an open connected set and let $\omega := \omega^p$ be the harmonic measure in Ω where p is a fixed point in Ω. Suppose that there exists $E \subset \partial\Omega$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^n(E) < \infty$ and that the harmonic measure $\omega|_E$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{H}^n|_E$. Then $\omega|_E$ is n-rectifiable, in the sense that ω-almost all of E can be covered by a countable union of n-dimensional (possibly rotated) Lipschitz graphs.*

Deep connections between absolute continuity of the harmonic measure and rectifiability of the underlying set have for a long time been a subject of thorough investigation. In 1916 F. and M. Riesz proved that for a simply connected domain in the complex plane, with a rectifiable boundary, harmonic measure is absolutely continuous with respect to arclength measure on the boundary [RR]. More generally, if only a portion of the boundary is rectifiable, Bishop and Jones [BJ] have shown that harmonic measure is absolutely continuous with respect to arclength on that portion. They also demonstrate that the result of [RR] may fail in the absence of some topological hypothesis (e.g., simple connectedness).

The higher dimensional analogues of [BJ] include absolute continuity of harmonic measure with respect to the Hausdorff measure for Lipschitz graph domains [Da] and non-tangentially accessible (NTA) domains [DJ], [Se]. To be precise, [Da], [DJ], [Se] establish a quantitative scale-invariant result, A^∞ property of harmonic measure, which in the planar case was proved by Lavrent'ev [Lv]. We shall not give a precise definition of NTA domains here, but let us mention that they necessarily satisfy interior and exterior corkscrew condition as well as Harnack chain condition, that is, certain quantitative analogues of connectivity and openness, respectively. Similarly to the lower-dimensional case, the counterexamples show that some topological restrictions are needed for absolute continuity of ω with respect to $\mathcal{H}^n$ [Wu], [Z].

In the present paper we attack the converse direction, in the spirit of free boundary problems. We establish that rectifiability is *necessary* for absolute continuity of the harmonic measure. The main two antecedents of our work are [AMT] and [HM2]. As mentioned above, in [AMT] the authors prove that absolute continuity of the harmonic measure with respect to $\mathcal{H}^n$ implies rectifiability under a background hypotheses that the domain Ω is *porous* near $E \subset \partial\Omega$, that is, there is $r_0 > 0$ so that every ball B centered at E of radius at most r_0 contains another ball $B' \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \partial\Omega$ with $r(B) \approx r(B')$, with the implicit constant depending only on E. In [HM2] the authors establish a quantitative analogue of this result, connecting weak-A^∞ property of the harmonic measure to uniform rectifiability of the boundary of the non-necessarily connected domain. However, their background conditions (Ahlfors-David regularity of $\partial\Omega$) naturally include porosity as well.

The main achievement of the present work lies in removing the porosity assumption and establishing rectifiability of $\omega|_E$ with no a priori requirements on topological structure of the set.

We note that in Theorem 1.1 connectivity is just a cosmetic assumption needed to make sense of harmonic measure at a given pole. In the presence of multiple components, one can work with one component at a time.

We also remark that in the course of the proof of our main result we may assume that Ω is bounded. Otherwise, we take any open ball B so that $2\,B \subset \Omega$ then consider $\widetilde{\Omega} = \Omega \setminus \overline{B}$ and then the two harmonic measures (the one for Ω and the one for $\widetilde{\Omega}$) are mutually absolutely continuous on $\partial\Omega$. Then by Kelvin transform with respect to the center of the ball we can reduce matters to the case of a bounded domain. Further details are left to the interested reader.

To wrap up the discussion of the background, let us mention that a crucial ingredient of our argument, as well as that of [AMT], is the recent resolution of the David-Semmes conjecture in [NToV1], [NToV2]. According to the latter, boundedness of the Riesz transforms implies rectifiability of the underlying set, and the core of the present work lies in some intricate estimates on the harmonic measure and the Green function which ultimately yield desired bounds on the Riesz transform.

Finally, we remind the reader that this paper will be combined with [AMT] and a more detailed historical context will be discussed in the combined manuscript.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we denote n-dimensional Hausdorff measure by $\mathcal{H}^n(A)$, and its n-dimensional Hausdorff content by $\mathcal{H}^n_\infty(A)$.

Given a signed Radon measure ν in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we consider the n-dimensional Riesz transform

$$\mathcal{R}\nu(x) = \int \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^{n+1}}\, d\nu(y),$$

whenever the integral makes sense. For $\varepsilon > 0$, its ε-truncated version is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon\nu(x) = \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^{n+1}}\, d\nu(y).$$

We also consider the maximal operators

$$\mathcal{M}^n\nu(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{|\nu|(B(x,r))}{r^n},$$

and for $\varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}^n_\varepsilon\nu(x) = \sup_{r>\varepsilon} \frac{|\nu|(B(x,r))}{r^n}.$$

The following is a variant of a well known estimate due to Bourgain (see [Bo]).

Lemma 2.1 ([AMT, Lemma 4.1])**.** *There is $\delta_0 > 0$ depending only on $n \geq 1$ so that the following holds for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$. Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a domain, $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, $r > 0$, $B = B(\xi, r)$, and set $\rho := \mathcal{H}^s_\infty(\partial\Omega \cap \delta B)/(\delta r)^s$ for some $s > n-1$. Then*

$$\omega^x_\Omega(B) \gtrsim_n \rho \quad \text{for all } x \in \delta B \cap \Omega. \tag{2.1}$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 FOR BOUNDED WIENER REGULAR DOMAINS $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$

Our goal in this section consists in proving Theorem 1.1 under the additional assumption that Ω is bounded and that the domain is Wiener regular (that is, all boundary points are Wiener regular). We will work in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$. Let us first rescall the definition of Wiener regular points.

For $n \geq 2$, the Newtonian potential of a measure μ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is defined as

$$U^\mu(x) := \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-1}}\, d\mu(y).$$

The Newtonian capacity of Borel compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Cap}(A) = \sup\{\mu(A) : U^\mu(x) \le 1, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}.$$

Given $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that a point $x \in \partial\Omega$ is Wiener regular for Ω (or just regular) if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Cap}(A(x,r,2r) \cap \Omega^c)}{r^{n-1}} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty,$$

where $A(x,r,s)$, $r < s$, stands for the open annulus $B(x,s) \setminus \overline{B(x,r)}$. If x is not regular, we say that it is irregular. We say that Ω is Wiener regular if every $x \in \partial\Omega$ is Wiener regular.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a bounded open connected set and let $\omega := \omega^p$ be the harmonic measure in Ω where p is a fixed point in Ω. Assume further that Ω is Wiener regular. Suppose that there exists $E \subset \partial\Omega$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^n(E) < \infty$ and that the harmonic measure $\omega|_E$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{H}^n|_E$. Then $\omega|_E$ is n-rectifiable, in the sense that ω-almost all of E can be covered by a countable union of n-dimensional (possibly rotated) Lipschitz graphs.*

The proof of this lemma will follow the same lines as the proof of Theorem 1 in [AMT], where a version of our Theorem 1.1 is obtained under the additional hypothesis of “porosity”, i.e., assuming the existence of a corkscrew point in some component of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \partial\Omega$, at all scales with a uniform constant. To remove the porosity assumption, only the Key Lemma 7.1 from [AMT] needs to be modified. However, for the reader’s convenience we summarize the main ingredients from the arguments of [AMT].

3.1. **Relationship between harmonic measure and the the Green function.** In what follows, and unless otherwise stated, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \ge 2$ is a bounded open connected set such that Ω is Wiener regular. We write ω^x to denote harmonic measure for Ω with pole at $x \in \Omega$. Analogously, G will denote the Green function for Ω which is defined as follows. Write $\mathcal{E}(x) = c_n\,|x|^{1-n}$ for the fundamental solution for Laplace’s equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n \ge 2$. We define the Green function

$$G(x,y) = \mathcal{E}(x-y) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{E}(x-z)d\omega^y(z) =: \mathcal{E}(x-y) - v_x(y), \tag{3.1}$$

which then satisfies [He, Definition 4.2.3]. We now claim that G belongs to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ away from the pole. Indeed, by the Wiener regularity of $\partial\Omega$, and the fact that the data $\mathcal{E}(x-\cdot)\big|_{\partial\Omega}$ is Lipschitz for fixed $x \in \Omega$, the solution $v_x(y)$ defined above coincides with the Lax-Milgram solution constructed in the standard way as follows (see, e.g., [Ke, p. 5]). Set $F_x(y) := \mathcal{E}(x-y)\,(1 - \psi((x-y)/\delta(x)))\,\psi(y/R)$ where $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is radial, $0 \le \psi \le 1$, $\operatorname{supp}\psi \subset B(0,1/2)$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ on $B(0,1/4)$ and where R is large enough so that $\Omega \subset B(0,R/8)$. Clearly, $F_x \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, and $F_x\big|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathcal{E}(x-\cdot)$. Thus, by Lax-Milgram we may construct $u_x \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{L}u_x = \mathcal{L}F_x \in W^{-1,2}(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Laplacian. Then $v_x = F_x - u_x$, and therefore

$$G(x,y) = \mathcal{E}(x-y) - v_x(y) = \mathcal{E}(x-y) - F_x(y) + u_x$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(x-\cdot)$ and $F_x(\cdot)$ agree in $\Omega \setminus B(x,\delta(x)/2)$, it follows that $\mathcal{E}(x-\cdot) - F_x(\cdot)$ is in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ away from x. Moreover, by construction $u_x \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, so that $G(x,\cdot) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ away from x as desired.

The following auxiliary result is somewhat similar to [AMT, Lemma 4.2]. The main difference is that y is required to be a corkscrew point x_B relative to B and also that one can replace the infimum in (3.2) just by $\omega^{x_B}(B)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a bounded open connected set which is Wiener regular. Let $B = B(x, r)$ be a closed ball with $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $0 < r < \operatorname{diam}(\partial\Omega)$. Then, for all $a > 0$,*

$$\omega_\Omega^x(aB) \gtrsim \inf_{z \in 2B \cap \Omega} \omega_\Omega^z(aB)\, r^{n-1}\, G(x, y) \qquad \textit{for all } x \in \Omega \backslash 2B \textit{ and } y \in B \cap \Omega, \tag{3.2}$$

with the implicit constant independent of a.

Proof. Fix $y \in B \cap \Omega$ and note that for every $x \in \partial(2B) \cap \Omega$ we have

$$G(x, y) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \leq \frac{c}{r^{n-1}} \leq \frac{c\, \omega^x(aB)}{r^{n-1} \inf_{z \in 2B \cap \Omega} \omega^z(aB)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let us observe that the two functions

$$u(x) = c^{-1}\, G(x, y)\, r^{n-1} \inf_{z \in 2B \cap \Omega} \omega^z(aB) \qquad \text{and} \qquad v(x) = \omega^x(aB)$$

are harmonic in $\Omega \setminus 2B$ and (3.3) says that $u \leq v$ in $\partial(2B) \cap \Omega$. We would like to use maximum principle in the domain $\Omega \setminus 2B$, but in order to rigorously justify that use we need to approximate v. Let $\psi_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ be a radial function, $0 \leq \psi_\epsilon \leq 1$, ψ_ϵ supported in $(a+\epsilon)B$ and $\psi_\epsilon \equiv 1$ in $a\, B$. Note then that

$$v(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_{aB}\, d\omega^x \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_\epsilon\, d\omega^x =: v_\epsilon(x).$$

Since ψ_ϵ is smooth and all boundary points are Wiener regular we can conclude that $v_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$. Hence u, $v_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega \setminus 2\, B})$ (that u is continuous away from the pole follows again from (3.1) and the Wiener regularity). We now claim that $u \leq v_\epsilon$ on $\partial(\Omega \setminus 2B)$. Indeed, from what we showed before $u \leq v \leq v_\epsilon$ on $\partial(2B) \cap \Omega$ and also $u(x) = 0$ in $\partial\Omega$ and $v_\epsilon(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Hence the maximal principle for continuous solutions all the way to the boundary yields that $u \leq v_\epsilon$ on $\Omega \setminus 2\, B$. To conclude with our estimate we just need to observe that $v_\epsilon(x) \to v(x)$ for every $x \in \Omega \setminus 2\, B$ by dominated convergence theorem and the fact that $\psi_\epsilon(z) \to \chi_{a\, B}(z)$ for everywhere $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. ☐

3.2. The dyadic lattice of David and Mattila.

We introduce now the dyadic lattice of cubes with small boundaries of David-Mattila associated with ω^p, where p is a fixed pole in Ω, from [DM, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 3.3 (David, Mattila)**.** *Consider two constants $C_0 > 1$ and $A_0 > 5000\, C_0$ and denote $W = \operatorname{supp} \omega^p$. Then there exists a sequence of partitions of W into Borel subsets Q, $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k$, with the following properties:*

- *For each integer $k \geq 0$, W is the disjoint union of the "cubes" Q, $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k$, and if $k < l$, $Q \in \mathcal{D}_l$, and $R \in \mathcal{D}_k$, then either $Q \cap R = \varnothing$ or else $Q \subset R$.*
- *The general position of the cubes Q can be described as follows. For each $k \geq 0$ and each cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k$, there is a ball $B(Q) = B(z_Q, r(Q))$ such that*

$$z_Q \in W, \qquad A_0^{-k} \leq r(Q) \leq C_0\, A_0^{-k},$$
$$W \cap B(Q) \subset Q \subset W \cap 28\, B(Q) = W \cap B(z_Q, 28 r(Q)),$$

and

$$\text{the balls } 5B(Q),\ Q \in \mathcal{D}_k, \text{ are disjoint.}$$

- *The cubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k$ have small boundaries. That is, for each $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k$ and each integer $l \geq 0$, set*

$$N_l^{ext}(Q) = \{x \in W \setminus Q :\ \mathrm{dist}(x, Q) < A_0^{-k-l}\},$$
$$N_l^{int}(Q) = \{x \in Q :\ \mathrm{dist}(x, W \setminus Q) < A_0^{-k-l}\},$$

and

$$N_l(Q) = N_l^{ext}(Q) \cup N_l^{int}(Q).$$

Then

$$\omega^p(N_l(Q)) \leq (C^{-1} C_0^{-3d-1} A_0)^{-l}\, \omega^p(90B(Q)). \tag{3.4}$$

- *Denote by $\mathcal{D}_k^{db}$ the family of cubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k$ for which*

$$\omega^p(100B(Q)) \leq C_0\, \omega^p(B(Q)). \tag{3.5}$$

We have that $r(Q) = A_0^{-k}$ when $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k \setminus \mathcal{D}_k^{db}$ and

$$\omega^p(100B(Q)) \leq C_0^{-l}\, \omega^p(100^{l+1}B(Q)) \quad \textit{for all } l \geq 1 \textit{ such that } 100^l \leq C_0 \textit{ and } Q \in \mathcal{D}_k \setminus \mathcal{D}_k^{db}. \tag{3.6}$$

We use the notation $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_k$. Observe that the families $\mathcal{D}_k$ are only defined for $k \geq 0$. So the diameter of the cubes from $\mathcal{D}$ are uniformly bounded from above. We set $\ell(Q) = 56\, C_0\, A_0^{-k}$ and we call it the side length of Q. Notice that

$$\frac{1}{28}\, C_0^{-1} \ell(Q) \leq \mathrm{diam}(B(Q)) \leq \ell(Q).$$

Observe that $r(Q) \sim \mathrm{diam}(B(Q)) \sim \ell(Q)$. Also we call z_Q the center of Q, and the cube $Q' \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}$ such that $Q' \supset Q$ the parent of Q. We set $B_Q = 28\, B(Q) = B(z_Q, 28\, r(Q))$, so that

$$W \cap \tfrac{1}{28} B_Q \subset Q \subset B_Q.$$

For $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, we write $J(Q) \in \mathbb{N}$ if $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{J(Q)}$.

We denote $\mathcal{D}^{db} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_k^{db}$. Note that, in particular, from (3.5) it follows that

$$\omega^p(3B_Q) \leq \omega^p(100B(Q)) \leq C_0\, \omega^p(Q) \qquad \text{if } Q \in \mathcal{D}^{db}. \tag{3.7}$$

For this reason we will call the cubes from $\mathcal{D}^{db}$ doubling.

As shown in [DM, Lemma 5.28], every cube $R \in \mathcal{D}$ can be covered ω^p-a.e. by a family of doubling cubes:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $R \in \mathcal{D}$. Suppose that the constants A_0 and C_0 in Lemma 3.3 are chosen suitably. Then there exists a family of doubling cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{D}^{db}$, with $Q_i \subset R$ for all i, such that their union covers ω^p-almost all R.*

Given a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we consider its n-dimensional density:

$$\Theta_\omega(B) = \frac{\omega^p(B)}{r(B)^n}.$$

The following is an easy consequence of [DM, Lemma 5.31]. For the precise details, see [To, Lemma 4.4], for example.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $R \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $Q \subset R$ be a cube such that all the intermediate cubes S, $Q \subsetneq S \subsetneq R$ are non-doubling (i.e. belong to $\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}^{db}$). Then*

$$\Theta_\omega(100B(Q)) \leq C_0\, A_0^{-9n(J(Q)-J(R)-1)}\, \Theta_\omega(100B(R))$$

and

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{D}: Q \subset S \subset R} \Theta_\omega(100B(S)) \leq c\, \Theta_\omega(100B(R)),$$

with c depending on C_0 and A_0.

From now on we will assume that C_0 and A_0 are some big fixed constants so that the results stated in the lemmas of this section hold.

3.3. The Frostman measure.

From now on, Ω and E will be as in Proposition 3.1. We fix a point $p \in \Omega$ and consider the harmonic measure ω^p of Ω with pole at p. We may assume thet $\omega^p(E) > 0$, otherwise there is nothing to prove.

Let $g \in L^1(\omega^p)$ be such that

$$\omega^p|_E = g\, \mathcal{H}^n|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Given $M > 0$, let

$$E_M = \{x \in \partial\Omega : M^{-1} \leq g(x) \leq M\}.$$

Take M big enough so that $\omega^p(E_M) \geq \omega^p(E)/2 > 0$. Consider an arbitrary compact set $F_M \subset E_M$ with $\omega^p(F_M) > 0$.

Let μ be an n-dimensional Frostman measure for F_M. That is, μ is a non-zero Radon measure supported on F_M such that

$$\mu(B(x,r)) \leq C\, r^n \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Further, by renormalizing μ, we can assume that $\|\mu\| = 1$. Of course the constant C above will depend on $\mathcal{H}^n_\infty(F_M)$, and the same may happen for all the constants C to appear, but this causes no problems. Notice that $\mu \ll \mathcal{H}^n|_{F_M} \ll \omega^p$. In fact, for any set $H \subset F_M$,

$$\mu(H) \leq C\, \mathcal{H}^n_\infty(H) \leq C\, \mathcal{H}^n(H) \leq C\, M\, \omega^p(H). \tag{3.8}$$

3.4. The bad cubes.

Now we recall the definition of bad cubes from [AMT]. We say that $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ is bad and we write $Q \in \mathsf{Bad}$, if $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ is a maximal cube satisfying one of the conditions below:

(a) $\mu(Q) \leq \tau\, \omega^p(Q)$, where $\tau > 0$ is a small parameter to be fixed below, or
(b) $\omega^p(3B_Q) \geq A\, r(B_Q)^n$, where A is some big constant to be fixed below.

The existence maximal cubes is guarantied by the fact that all the cubes from $\mathcal{D}$ have side length uniformly bounded from above (since $\mathcal{D}_k$ is defined only for $k \geq 0$). If the condition (a) holds, we write $Q \in \mathsf{LM}$ (little measure μ) and in the case (b), $Q \in \mathsf{HD}$ (high density). On the other hand, if a cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ is not contained in any cube from Bad, we say that Q is good and we write $Q \in \mathsf{Good}$.

For technical reasons one needs to introduce a variant of the family $\mathcal{D}^{db}$ of doubling cubes. Given some constant $T \geq C_0$ (where C_0 is the constant in Lemma 3.3) to be fixed below, we say that $Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{db}$ if

$$\omega^p(100B(Q)) \leq T\, \omega^p(Q).$$

We also set $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k^{db} = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{db} \cap \mathcal{D}_k$ for $k \geq 0$. From (3.7) and the fact that $T \geq C_0$, it is clear that $\mathcal{D}^{db} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{db}$.

It is shown then in Lemma 6.1 of [AMT] that if τ is small enough and A and T big enough, then

$$\omega^p\Bigg(F_M \cap \bigcup_{Q\in\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_0^{db}} Q \setminus \bigcup_{Q\in\mathsf{Bad}} Q\Bigg) > 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_0^{db}$ stands for the family of cubes from the zero level of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{db}$.

Notice that for the points $x \in F_M \setminus \bigcup_{Q\in\mathsf{Bad}} Q$, from the condition (b) in the definition of bad cubes, it follows that

$$\omega^p(B(x,r)) \lesssim A\, r^n \qquad \text{for all } 0 < r \leq 1.$$

Trivially, the same estimate holds for $r \geq 1$, since $\|\omega^p\| = 1$. So we have

$$\mathcal{M}^n\omega^p(x) \lesssim A \quad \text{ for } \omega^p\text{-a.e. } x \in F_M \setminus \textstyle\bigcup_{Q\in\mathsf{Bad}} Q. \tag{3.9}$$

3.5. **The key lemma.** Folowinf the same arguments in [AMT], it turns out that to prove Proposition 3.1 it is enough to show the following.

Lemma 3.6 (Key lemma)**.** *Let $Q \in \mathsf{Good}$ be contained in some cube from the family $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_0^{db}$. Then we have*

$$\big|\mathcal{R}_{r(B_Q)}\omega^p(x)\big| \leq C(\delta, A, M, T, \tau, d(p)) \quad \textit{for all } x \in B_Q, \tag{3.10}$$

where, to shorten notation, we wrote $d(p) = \operatorname{dist}(p, \partial\Omega)$.

The proof of this lemma in [AMT] uses the porosity of $\partial\Omega$ in E. The proof below does not, and instead uses some arguments of integration by parts which are not present in the analogous arguments from [AMT].

Proof. We may assume that $r(B_Q) \ll d(p) = \operatorname{dist}(p, \partial\Omega)$, since otherwise (3.10) is trivial. Further, by the same techniques as the ones from the proof of the Key Lemma 7.1 from [AMT], it is enough to show (3.10) just for the cubes $Q \in \mathsf{Good} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{db}$. Recall that, by definition, a cube $Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{db} \cap \mathsf{Good}$ satisfies in particular

$$\mu(Q) > \tau\, \omega^p(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^p(3B_Q) \leq T\, \omega^p(Q). \tag{3.11}$$

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1]$ be a radial $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function which vanishes on $B(0,1)$ and equal 1 on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,2)$, and for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denote $\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)$ and set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon\omega^p(z) = \int K(z-y)\, \varphi_\varepsilon(z-y)\, d\omega^p(y),$$

where $K(\cdot)$ is the kernel of the n-dimensional Riesz transform.

Let $\delta > 0$ be the constant appearing in Lemma 2.1 about Bourgain's estimate. Consider a ball $\widetilde{B}_Q$ centered at some point from $B_Q \cap \partial\Omega$ with $r(\widetilde{B}_Q) = \frac{\delta}{10}\, r(B_Q)$ such $\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q) \gtrsim \mu(B_Q)$, with the implicit constant depending on δ. Note that, for every $x, z \in B_Q$, by standard Caldern-Zygmund estimates

$$\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{r(\widetilde{B}_Q)}\omega^p(x) - \mathcal{R}_{r(B_Q)}\omega^p(z)\big| \leq C(\delta)\, \mathcal{M}^n_{r(\widetilde{B}_Q)}\omega^p(z),$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}^n_{r(\widetilde{B}_Q)}\omega^p(z) \leq C(\delta, A) \qquad \text{for all } z \in B_Q,$$

since Q being good implies that Q and all its ancestors are not from HD. Thus, to prove (3.10) it suffices to show that

$$\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{r(\widetilde{B}_Q)}\omega^p(x)\big| \leq C(\delta, A, M, T, \tau, d_p) \quad \text{for the center } x \text{ of } \widetilde{B}_Q, \tag{3.12}$$

To shorten notation, in the rest of the proof we will write $r = r(\widetilde{B}_Q)$, so that $\widetilde{B}_Q = B(x,r)$. Recall that at the beginning of Section 3.1 we show that under the current assumptions $G(p,\cdot)$ is in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ away from p. To prove (3.12) we may therefore formally integrate by parts (see [HM1] for a justification of this):

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_r\omega^p(x) &= \int K(x-y)\,\varphi_r(x-y)\,d\omega^p(y)\\
&= -\int_\Omega \nabla_y G(y,p)\cdot\nabla_y\big[K(x-y)\,\varphi_r(x-y)\big]\,dm(y) + K(x-p)\,\varphi_r(x-p)\\
&= -\int_\Omega \nabla_y G(y,p)\cdot\big[\nabla_y K(x-y)\,\varphi_r(x-y)\big]\,dm(y)\\
&\qquad -\int_\Omega \nabla_y G(y,p)\cdot\big[K(x-y)\,\nabla_y\varphi_r(x-y)\big]\,dm(y) + K(x-p)\,\varphi_r(x-p)\\
&=: -I - II + III.
\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate the terms I, II, and III separately. Notice first that

$$|III| \leq \frac{1}{|x-p|^n} \leq \frac{1}{d(p)^n}.$$

Concerning II, since $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_r(x-\cdot) \subset A(x,r,2r) := B(x,2r)\setminus B(x,r)$ and $\|\nabla\varphi_r\|_\infty \leq c/r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|II| &\leq \frac{c}{r}\int_{\Omega\cap A(x,r,2r)} |\nabla_y G(y,p)|\,|K(x-y)|\,dm(y)\\
&\leq c\,\frac{1}{r^{n+1}}\int_{\Omega\cap B(x,2r)} |\nabla_y G(y,p)|\,dm(y)\\
&\leq c\,\left(\frac{1}{r^{n+1}}\int_{\Omega\cap B(x,2r)} |\nabla_y G(y,p)|^2\,dm(y)\right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Extend $G(\cdot,p)$ by 0 utside of $\overline{\Omega}$ and, abusing the notation, call this extension $G(\cdot,p)$. Observe that $G(\cdot,p)$ is in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ away from p and has compact support, since we showed before that $G(\cdot,p)$ is in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ away from p. Note also that $G(\cdot,p)$ is subharmonic in $B(x,4\,r)$ since $r \ll d(p)$ and hece we can invoke Caccioppoli's inequality to conclude that

$$|II| \leq c\left(\fint_{B(x,2r)} |\nabla_y G(y,p)|^2\,dm(y)\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{c}{r}\left(\fint_{B(x,3r)} |G(y,p)|^2\,dm(y)\right)^{1/2}.$$

To deal with the term I, we consider a small ball B centered at p with radius much smaller that $d(p)$ and we split the domain of integration as $\Omega = (\Omega\setminus B)\cup B$:

$$I = \left(\int_{\Omega\setminus B} + \int_B\right)\nabla_y G(y,p)\cdot\big[\nabla_y K(x-y)\,\varphi_r(x-y)\big]\,dm(y) =: I_a + I_b.$$

The integral I_b is easy to estimate. We just use that, for $y \in B$,

(3.14)
$$|\nabla_y G(y,p)| \leq \frac{c}{|y-p|^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \big|\nabla_y K(x-y)\,\varphi_r(x-y)\big| \leq \frac{c}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \leq \frac{c}{d(p)^{n+1}}.$$

So we have

$$|I_b| \leq \frac{c}{d(p)^{n+1}} \int_B \frac{1}{|y-p|^n}\,dm(y) \leq c\,\frac{r(B)}{d(p)^{n+1}} \leq \frac{c}{d(p)^n}.$$

To estimate the integral I_a we use the previous extension og G and apply the divergence theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} I_a &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\setminus B} \operatorname{div}\big(G(\cdot,p)\,\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\,\varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big]\big)(y)\,dm(y) \\ &\qquad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\setminus B} G(y,p)\operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\,\varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y)\,dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\partial B} G(y,p)\,\big[\nabla_y K(x-y)\,\varphi_r(x-y)\big]\,\cdot N(y)\,d\sigma(y) \\ &\qquad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\setminus B} G(y,p)\operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\,\varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y)\,dm(y) \\ &=: I_{a,1} + I_{a,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $N(\cdot)$ stands for the unit normal vector on ∂B pointing to the interior of B and σ is the surface measure on ∂B. Note that for the second identity we have used the fact that the Green function belongs to $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ away from p and that it has compact support. Using that, for $y \in \partial B$,

$$|G(y,p)| \leq \frac{c}{r(B)^{n-1}}$$

and the second estimate in (3.14) it follows that

$$|I_{a,1}| \leq \frac{c}{r(B)^{n-1}\,d(p)^{n+1}}\,\sigma(\partial B) \leq c\,\frac{r(B)}{d(p)^{n+1}} \leq \frac{c}{d(p)^n}.$$

To deal with $I_{a,2}$, observe that $K(x-\cdot)$ is harmonic away from x, and thus

$$\operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\,\varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y) = \nabla_y K(x-y)\cdot\nabla_y\varphi_r(x-y).$$

Therefore, since $\operatorname{supp}(\nabla_y\varphi_r(x-\cdot)) \subset A(x,r,2r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{a,2}| &\leq \int_{\Omega\cap A(x,r,2r)} |G(y,p)|\,\big|\nabla_y K(x-y)\big|\,\big|\nabla_y\varphi_r(x-y)\big|\,dm(y) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{r} ⨍_{B(x,2r)} |G(y,p)|\;dm(y) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{r}\left(⨍_{B(x,2r)} |G(y,p)|^2\;dm(y)\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If we gather the estimates obtained for the terms I, II, and III, we get

$$\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon\omega^p(x)\big| \lesssim \frac{1}{r}\left(⨍_{B(x,3r)} |G(y,p)|^2\;dm(y)\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{d(p)^n}.$$

Thus, to conclude the proof the key lemma it is enough to show that

$$\frac{1}{r}\,|G(y,p)| \lesssim 1 \qquad \text{for all } y \in B(x,3r)\cap\Omega. \tag{3.15}$$

To prove this, observe that by Lemma 3.2 (with $B = B(x,3r)$, $a = 2\delta^{-1}$), for all $y \in B(x,3r)\cap\Omega$, we have

$$\omega^p(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r)) \gtrsim \inf_{z\in B(x,6r)\cap\Omega} \omega^z(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))\, r^{n-1}\, |G(y,p)|.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, for any $z \in B(x,6r)\cap\Omega$,

$$\omega^z(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r)) \gtrsim \frac{\mu(B(x,6r))}{r^n} \geq \frac{\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q)}{r^n}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\omega^p(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r)) \gtrsim \frac{\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q)}{r^n}\, r^{n-1}\, |G(y,p)|,$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{r}\,|G(y,p)| \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}{\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q)}.$$

Now, recall that by construction $\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q) \gtrsim \mu(B_Q) \geq \mu(Q)$ and $B(x,6\delta^{-1}r) = 6\delta^{-1}\widetilde{B}_Q \subset 3B_Q$, since $r(\widetilde{B}_Q) = \frac{\delta}{10} r(B_Q)$, and so we have

$$\frac{1}{r}\,|G(y,p)| \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}{\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q)} \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(3B_Q)}{\mu(Q)} \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(Q)}{\mu(Q)} \leq C,$$

by (3.11). So (3.15) is proved and the proof of the Key lemma is complete. □

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 FOR A GENERAL DOMAIN $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$

First we need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Ω be a proper domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $p \in \Omega$. Let $W \subset \partial\Omega$ be the set of Wiener irregular points for Ω. Then there exists a finite measure μ such that $U^\mu(x) = \infty$ for all $x \in W$ and $U_\mu(p) \leq 1$.*

Proof. For $x \in \partial\Omega$, denote

$$S(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{Cap}(A(x,r,2r)\cap\Omega^c)}{r^{n-1}}\,\frac{dr}{r},$$

so that x is regular if and only if $S(x) = \infty$. Since S is lower semicontinuous, for all $\lambda > 0$ the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : S(x) > \lambda\}$ is open and thus the set of Wiener regular point is a G_δ set (relative to $\partial\Omega$). Thus the set W of the irregular points from $\partial\Omega$ is an F_σ set. Thus we can write

$$W = \bigcup_{j\geq 1} K_i,$$

where each K_i is a compact subset of $\partial\Omega$.

By Kellog's Lemma [La, p.232], we know that $\mathrm{Cap}(W)=0$ and thus $\mathrm{Cap}(K_i)=0$ for all i. Then, by Theorem 3.1 of [La], for each i there exists a finite measure μ_i such that $U^{\mu_i}(x)=\infty$ for all $x\in K_i$ and $U^{\mu_i}(x)<\infty$ for all $x\notin K_i$. So the measure

$$\mu=\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{1}{2^i\,\max\{U^{\mu_i}(p),\|\mu_i\|\}}\,\mu_i$$

satisfies the requirements of the lemma. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 for bounded domains $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n\geq 2$. Let $p\in\Omega$. Consider $E\subset\partial\Omega$ with $0<\mathcal{H}^n(E)<\infty$ such that the harmonic measure $\omega^p|_E$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{H}^n|_E$. To prove the n-rectifiability of $\omega^p|_E$ it suffices to show that any subset $F\subset E$ with $\omega^p(F)>0$ contains some n-rectifiable subset G with positive $\mathcal{H}^n$ measure (hence the totally unrectifiable part of E will have w^p-measure 0). To this end, we consider the measure μ in Lemma 4.1. For a big $\lambda>0$ to be fixed below, we take the open set

$$V_\lambda=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}:U^\mu(x)>\lambda\}.$$

Note that the set of irregular points W from $\partial\Omega$ is contained in $V_\lambda\cap\partial\Omega$, for any $\lambda>0$.

Now we will construct an auxiliary domain $\widetilde\Omega$ (to which we will later apply Proposition 3.1) as follows. For each $x\in W$, consider a radius $0<r_x\leq\min\{1,d(p)/2\}$ such that the closed ball $\bar B(x,r_x)$ is contained in V_λ, and we apply the Besicovitch covering lemma to get a family of closed balls B_i, $i\in I$, centered at points from W, which cover W and have bounded overlap. Then we define

$$\widetilde\Omega=\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i.$$

We will show now that $\widetilde\Omega$ is open. Indeed, we claim that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i}\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\subset\partial\Omega. \tag{4.1}$$

This inclusion implies that

$$\Omega\setminus\overline{\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i}=\Omega\setminus\left[\left(\overline{\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i}\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\right)\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\right]=\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i=\widetilde\Omega,$$

and thus ensures that $\widetilde\Omega$ is open.

To show our claim (4.1) consider $x\in\overline{\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i}\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i$ and recall that, by construction each ball B_i is closed. Then x must be the limit of a sequence of points belonging to infinitely many different balls B_{i_k}, $i_k\in I$. It turns out that then we have $r(B_{i_k})\to 0$. This is a straightforward consequence of the fact that any family of balls B_j, $j\in J\subset I$, such that $\mathrm{dist}(B_j,x)\leq 1$ and $0<\varepsilon\leq r(B_j)\leq 1$ must be finite, by the finite overlap of the family $\{B_i\}_{i\in I}$. The fact that $r(B_{i_k})\to 0$ implies that $x\in\partial\Omega$, since the balls $B_{i,k}$ are centered in $\partial\Omega$.

From (4.1) we also deduce that

$$\partial\widetilde\Omega\subset\left(\partial\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\right)\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}\partial B_i. \tag{4.2}$$

To see this, write

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\widetilde{\Omega} = \partial\Big(\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\Big) &\subset \partial\Omega\cup\overline{\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i}\\ &= \partial\Omega\cup\Big(\overline{\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i}\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\Big)\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\\ &= \partial\Omega\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i = \Big(\partial\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\Big)\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by construction the interior of each ball B_i lies in the exterior of $\widetilde{\Omega}$, and thus

$$\partial\widetilde{\Omega} = \partial\widetilde{\Omega}\setminus\operatorname{ext}(\widetilde{\Omega}) \subset \Big[\Big(\partial\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\Big)\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\Big]\setminus\operatorname{ext}(\widetilde{\Omega}) \subset \Big(\partial\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i\Big)\cup\bigcup_{i\in I}\partial B_i,$$

which proves (4.2).

We wish to show now that, if λ has been chosen big enough, then there exists some subset $\widetilde{F}\subset F\cap\partial\widetilde{\Omega}$ with positive harmonic measure $\widetilde{\omega}^p$ (this is the harmonic measure for $\widetilde{\Omega}$ with pole at $p\in\widetilde{\Omega}$, that $p\in\widetilde{\Omega}$ follows from the fact that $r(B_i)\le d(p)/2$) such that $\widetilde{\omega}^p|_{\widetilde{F}}\ll\mathcal{H}^n|_{\widetilde{F}}$. Denote

$$\widetilde{B} = \bigcup_{i\in I}\partial B_i \quad\text{and}\quad \widetilde{G} = \partial\widetilde{\Omega}\setminus\widetilde{B}.$$

Note that (4.2) tells us that $\widetilde{G}\subset\partial\Omega\cap\partial\widetilde{\Omega}$. By a formal application of the maximum principle and the construction of $\widetilde{\Omega}$ we have

$$\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{G}\setminus F)\le\omega^p(\widetilde{G}\setminus F). \tag{4.3}$$

We would like to emphasize that our use of the maximum principle strongly uses the construction of harmonic measure solutions using Perron's method. We are working in a regime where the Wiener test may fail, and the involve solutions are not Perron solutions for the same domain, nor are they continuous on the closures of the respective domains under consideration. Hence, classical maximum principle does not apply. We shall give a rigorous justification at the end of the proof, see 4.4.

On the other hand, observe that $\widetilde{B}\subset V_\lambda$. Then we consider the function $f(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda}U^\mu(x)$, which is superharmonic in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, with $f(x)>1$ for all $x\in V_\lambda$ (and thus for all $x\in\widetilde{B}$), and $f(p)\le 1/\lambda$. By the maximum principle (here it is just the Perron method), then we deduce that

$$\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{B})\le f(p)\le\frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Hence, choosing $\lambda = 2/\omega^p(F)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\omega}^p(F\cap\partial\widetilde{\Omega}) &\ge \widetilde{\omega}^p(\partial\widetilde{\Omega})-\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{G}\setminus F)-\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{B})\\ &\ge \omega^p(\partial\Omega)-\omega^p(\widetilde{G}\setminus F)-\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{B})\\ &\ge \omega^p(F)-\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{B})\\ &\ge \frac{1}{2}\,\omega^p(F)>0.\end{aligned}$$

Then we take $\widetilde{F} := F \cap \partial\widetilde{\Omega}$, so that $\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{F}) > 0$. Further, by the maximum principle (which again requires some justification, see (4.4)) and the fact that $\omega^p|_F \ll \mathcal{H}^n|_F$, we infer that

$$\widetilde{\omega}^p|_{\widetilde{F}} \ll \omega^p|_{\widetilde{F}} \ll \mathcal{H}^n|_{\widetilde{F}}.$$

We intend to apply Proposition 3.1 to show that $\widetilde{\omega}^p|_{\widetilde{F}}$ is n-rectifiable. To this end, it remains to check that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is Wiener regular. That is, all the points $x \in \partial\widetilde{\Omega}$ are Wiener regular for $\widetilde{\Omega}$. We have to show that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Cap}(A(x,r,2r) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}^c)}{r^{n-1}} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty$$

for all $x \in \partial\widetilde{\Omega}$. By (4.2) we know that either $x \in \big(\partial\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i\in I} B_i\big)$ or $x \in \partial B_i$ for some $i \in I$. In the latter case we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Cap}(A(x,r,2r) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}^c)}{r^{n-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \geq \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Cap}(A(x,r,2r) \cap B_i)}{r^{n-1}} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty,$$

since the complement of any ball B_i is Wiener regular.

If $x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i\in B_i} B_i$, then we know that x is Wiener regular for Ω, since $W \subset \bigcup_{i\in I} B_i$. Thus, using just that $\widetilde{\Omega}^c \supset \Omega^c$, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Cap}(A(x,r,2r) \cap \widetilde{\Omega}^c)}{r^{n-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \geq \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Cap}(A(x,r,2r) \cap \Omega^c)}{r^{n-1}} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty.$$

So the proof that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is Wiener regular is concluded.

Now we can apply Proposition 3.1 to deduce that $\tilde{\omega}^p|_{\widetilde{F}}$ is rectifiable. In other words, there exists an n-rectifiable subset $G \subset \widetilde{F}$ and $g \in L^1(\mathcal{H}^n|_G)$ such that

$$\widetilde{\omega}^p|_{\widetilde{F}} = g\, \mathcal{H}^n|_G.$$

The fact that $\widetilde{\omega}^p(\widetilde{F}) > 0$ ensures that $\mathcal{H}^n(G) > 0$, as wished.

To conclude this proof we need to justify the use of maximum principle which is based on Perron's construction of harmonic measure. We are going to show that

$$\widetilde{\omega}^p(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega^p(\mathcal{O}), \qquad \text{for every Borel set } \mathcal{O} \subset \partial\Omega \cap \partial\widetilde{\Omega}. \tag{4.4}$$

Set $u(x) := \omega^x(\mathcal{O})$, $x \in \Omega$, which is the harmonic measure solution associated with the boundary data $\chi_{\mathcal{O}} \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ via Perron's method, see for instance [GT, Chapter 2]. We pick φ an arbitrary superfunction relative to $\chi_{\mathcal{O}}$ for Ω, that is, $\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, φ is superharmonic in Ω, and $\varphi \geq \chi_{\mathcal{O}}$ in $\partial\Omega$. Let us recall that u is precisely the infimum of all these superfunctions. Note that $\phi \equiv 0$ is a subfunction relative to $\chi_{\mathcal{O}}$, since it is clearly harmonic, continuous everywhere and $\phi \leq \chi_{\mathcal{O}}$ on $\partial\Omega$. Hence, by the maximum principle for subharmonic and superharmonic functions that are continuous up to the boundary, we conclude that $0 \leq \varphi$ in $\overline{\Omega}$.

Let us check that $\varphi \geq \chi_{\mathcal{O}}$ in $\partial\widetilde{\Omega} = (\partial\widetilde{\Omega} \cap \Omega) \cup (\partial\widetilde{\Omega} \cap \partial\Omega)$. Our choice of φ guarantees that $\varphi \geq \chi_{\mathcal{O}}$ in $\partial\widetilde{\Omega} \cap \Omega$. On the other hand, if $x \in \partial\widetilde{\Omega} \cap \Omega$ we have $\varphi(x) \geq 0 = 1_{\mathcal{O}}(x)$. On the other hand, clearly $\varphi \in C(\overline{\widetilde{\Omega}})$ is superharmonic in $\widetilde{\Omega}$. We have then show that φ is a superfunction relative to $\chi_{\mathcal{O}}$ for $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and hence Perron's method in $\widetilde{\Omega}$ gives that $\widetilde{\omega}^p(\mathcal{O}) \leq \varphi$. We now take the infimum over all such φ to conclude by Perron's method in Ω that $\widetilde{\omega}^x(\mathcal{O}) \leq \omega^x(\mathcal{O})$ holds for every $x \in \widetilde{\Omega}$. This completes our proof.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 IN THE PLANAR CASE $n+1=2$

To start, as in the higher dimensional case, we immediately reduce Theorem 1.1 to the case of bounded domains.

5.1. **Logarithmic capacity, Wiener regular points and Green function.**

The logarithmic potential of a measure μ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is defined as

$$U^\mu(x) := \int \log \frac{1}{|x-y|}\, d\mu(y).$$

The Wiener capacity of a Borel compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is then defined by

$$\mathrm{Cap}(A) = \sup\{\mu(A) : U^\mu(x) \leq 1,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\},$$

(see, e.g., [La], p. 168, in combination with [La], Theorem 2.8).

Given $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that a point $x \in \partial\Omega$ is Wiener regular for Ω (or just regular) if

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{Cap}(A(x,r,2r) \cap \Omega^c)\, \log \frac{1}{r}\, \frac{dr}{r} = \infty.$$

If x is not regular, we say that it is irregular. We say that Ω is Wiener regular if every $x \in \partial\Omega$ is Wiener regular. (See [La], Theorem 5.6).

In this case the Green function is defined as follows. Much as before we set G as in (3.1) with $\mathcal{E}$ replaced by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{|x|}$ which will act as a fundamental solution:

$$G(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{|x-y|} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{|x-z|} d\omega^y(z). \tag{5.1}$$

Now we can, *mutatis mutandis*, repeat the argument carried out in Section 3.1 to conclude much as before that $G(x,\cdot) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ away from x when the domain is Wiener regular.

At this point we can formulate Proposition 3.1 identically to the original statement, but with $n=1$ and, respectively, with the definition of Wiener regularity as above. Let us discuss the modifications in its proof compared to the higher dimensional case.

5.2. **Proof of the Key Lemma in the planar case $n+1=2$.**

We recall that in this section the domain is assumed to be Wiener regular. We note that the arguments to prove Lemma 3.2 fail in the planar case. Therefore this cannot be applied to prove the Key Lemma and some changes are required. We follow the same scheme and notation and highlight the important modifications.

We claim that for any constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_r\omega^p(x)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{r}\left(⨍_{\Omega\cap B(x,3r)} |G(y,p)-\alpha|^2\, dm(y)\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{d(p)}. \tag{5.2}$$

To check this, recall that in the proof of the Key Lemma for $n \geq 2$ we showed that

$$|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_r\omega^p(x)| \leq |I| + |II| + |III|,$$

with the terms I, II and III being defined in (3.13). Note that we the formal integration by parts argument can be done in a more or less standard way following for instance the ideas in [HM1] with the appropriate changes. Details are left to the interested reader.

Much as before we can show that

$$|III| \lesssim \frac{1}{d(p)^n}$$

(now with $n = 1$) and also that

$$|II| \leq c \left(\fint_{B(x,2r)} |\nabla_y G(y,p)|^2 \, dm(y) \right)^{1/2},$$

which by Caccioppoli's inequaltity gives

$$|II| \leq \frac{c}{r} \left(\fint_{B(x,3r)} |G(y,p) - \alpha|^2 \, dm(y) \right)^{1/2}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Again, we extend the Green function by 0 outside of Ω, Concerning the term I, we have as before

$$|I| \leq \frac{c}{d(p)^n} + |I_{a,2}|,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,2} : &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus B} G(y,p) \operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\, \varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y)\, dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus B} (G(y,p)-\alpha) \operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\, \varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y)\, dm(y) \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus B} \operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\, \varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y)\, dm(y). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

To estimate the last integral on the right hand side, observe first that the integrand is compactly supported because

$$\operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\, \varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y) = \nabla_y K(x-y) \cdot \nabla_y \varphi_r(x-y).$$

Then, for any big $R > 0$ so that $B(x,R)$ contains B, by the divergence theorem the last integral on the right hand side of (5.3) equals

$$\begin{aligned} J := &\int_{B(x,R) \setminus B} \operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\, \varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y)\, dm(y) \\ = &\int_{\partial B(x,R)} \nabla_y K(x-y) \cdot N(y)\, d\sigma(y) + \int_{\partial B} \nabla_y K(x-y) \cdot N(y)\, d\sigma(y), \end{aligned}$$

where we took into account that $\varphi_r(x-\cdot)$ is identically 1 on ∂B and $\partial B(x,R)$. In the previous expression $N(\cdot)$ stands for the unit normal pointing to the exterior in the first integral and pointing to the interior in the second integral. It is easy to check that the first integral on the right hand side is bounded above by C/R and the second one by $C\, r(B)/d(p)^2$. So letting $R \to \infty$ we obtain

$$|J| \lesssim \frac{r(B)}{d(p)^2}.$$

Hence we deduce that

$$|I_{a,2}| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus B} |G(y,p)-\alpha| \operatorname{div}\big[\nabla K(x-\cdot)\, \varphi_r(x-\cdot)\big](y)\, dm(y) + \frac{C\, |\alpha|\, r(B)}{d(p)^2}.$$

To estimate the first integral on the right hand side we proceed as with the analogous integral with $\alpha = 0$ in the proof of the Key Lemma in the case $n > 1$: since $\operatorname{supp}(\nabla_y \varphi_r(x-\cdot)) \subset$

$A(x,r,2r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega\cap A(x,r,2r)} |G(y,p)-\alpha|\,\big|\nabla_y K(x-y)\big|\,\big|\nabla_y\varphi_r(x-y)\big|\,dm(y)&\\ \leq \frac{c}{r}\,-\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{B(x,2r)} |G(y,p)-\alpha|\;dm(y)&\\ \leq \frac{c}{r}\left(-\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{B(x,2r)} |G(y,p)-\alpha|^2\;dm(y)\right)^{1/2}&.\end{aligned}$$

Gathering all the estimates for the terms I, II and III, we obtain

$$\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon\omega^p(x)\big| \lesssim \frac{1}{r}\left(-\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{B(x,3r)} |G(y,p)-\alpha|^2\;dm(y)\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{d(p)} + \frac{|\alpha|\,r(B)}{d(p)^2}.$$

Since the estimates above are uniform on $r(B)$ (for $r(B)$ small enough), letting $r(B)\to 0$, our claim (5.2) follows.

Choosing $\alpha = G(z,p)$ with $z\in 3B$ in (5.2), averaging with respect Lebesgue measure for such z's, and applying applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon\omega^p(x)\big| \lesssim \frac{1}{r^3}\left(\iint_{B(x,3r)\times B(x,3r)} |G(y,p)-G(z,p)|^2\,dm(y)\,dm(z)\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{d(p)},$$

where we understand that $G(z,p)=0$ for $z\notin\Omega$. Now for $y,z\in B(x,3r)$ and p far away we write (cf. (5.1))

$$\begin{aligned}2\,\pi\,(G(y,p)-G(z,p)) &= \log\frac{|z-p|}{|y-p|} - \int_{\partial\Omega}\log\frac{|z-\xi|}{|y-\xi|}\,d\omega^p(\xi)\\ &= \left(\log\frac{|z-p|}{|y-p|} - \int_{\partial\Omega}\phi\left(\frac{\xi-x}{r}\right)\log\frac{|z-\xi|}{|y-\xi|}\,d\omega^p(\xi)\right)\\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega}\left(1-\phi\left(\frac{\xi-x}{r}\right)\right)\log\frac{|z-\xi|}{|y-\xi|}\,d\omega^p(\xi)\\ &= A_{y,z}+B_{y,z},\end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is a radial smooth function such that $\phi\equiv 0$ in $B(0,4)$ and $\phi\equiv 1$ in $B(0,5)$. Notice that the above identities also hold if $y,z\notin\Omega$. Let us observe that

$$\frac{|z-p|}{|y-p|}\approx 1$$

and

$$\frac{|z-\xi|}{|y-\xi|}\approx 1 \qquad \text{for } \xi\notin B(x,4r),$$

We claim that

$$|A_{y,z}| \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}{\inf_{z\in B(x,6r)\cap\Omega}\omega^z(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}. \tag{5.4}$$

We defer the details till the end of the proof. Using Bourgain's estimate (cf. Lemma 2.1) we get

$$\inf_{z\in B(x,6r)\cap\Omega}\omega^z(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r)) \gtrsim \frac{\mu(B(x,6r))}{r} \geq \frac{\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q)}{r}.$$

and thus

$$\frac{|A_{y,z}|}{r} \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}{\mu(\widetilde{B}_Q)} \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(Q)}{\mu(Q)},$$

by the doubling properties of Q (for ω^p) and the choice of $\widetilde{B}_Q$.

To deal with the term $B_{y,z}$ first we use Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}|B_{y,z}|^2 &\leq \omega^p(B(x,5r)) \int_{B(x,5r)} \left|\log \frac{|z-\xi|}{|y-\xi|}\right|^2 d\omega^p(\xi)\\ &\lesssim \omega^p(B(x,5r)) \int_{B(x,5r)} \left(\left|\log \frac{r}{|y-\xi|}\right|^2 + \left|\log \frac{r}{|z-\xi|}\right|^2\right) d\omega^p(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint_{B(x,3r)\times B(x,3r)} |B_{y,z}|^2 \, dm(y)\, dm(z)\\ &\qquad\lesssim \omega^p(B(x,5r))\, r^2 \int_{B(x,3r)} \int_{B(x,3r)} \left|\log \frac{r}{|y-\xi|}\right|^2 d\omega^p(\xi)\, dm(y).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for all $\xi \in B(x,5r)$,

$$\int_{B(x,3r)} \left|\log \frac{r}{|y-\xi|}\right|^2 dm(y) \lesssim r^2.$$

So by Fubini we obtain

$$\iint_{B(x,3r)\times B(x,3r)} |B_{y,z}|^2 \, dm(y)\, dm(z) \lesssim \omega^p(B(x,4r))^2\, r^4.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{r^3}\left(\iint_{B(x,3r)\times B(x,3r)} |B_{y,z}|^2 \, dm(y)\, dm(z)\right)^{1/2} \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(B(x,5r))}{r}.$$

Together with the bound for the term $A_{y,z}$, this gives

$$\left|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon \omega^p(x)\right| \lesssim \frac{\omega^p(Q)}{\mu(Q)} + \frac{\omega^p(B(x,5r))}{r} + \frac{1}{d(p)} \lesssim 1,$$

since $\mathcal{M}^1\omega^p(x) \lesssim 1$ by (3.9).

It remains now to show (5.4). The argument uses the ideas in Lemma 3.2 with some modifications. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}A_{y,z} = A_{y,z}(p) &= \log \frac{|z-p|}{|y-p|} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi\left(\frac{\xi - x}{r}\right) \log \frac{|z-\xi|}{|y-\xi|}\, d\omega^p(\xi)\\ &=: \log \frac{|z-p|}{|y-p|} - v_{x,y,z}(p)\end{aligned}$$

where $y, z \in B(x,3r)$ and p is far away. The two functions

$$q \longmapsto A_{y,z}(q) \qquad \text{and} \qquad q \longmapsto \frac{c\,\omega^q(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}{\inf_{z\in B(x,6r)\cap\Omega} \omega_\Omega^z(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}$$

are harmonic in $\Omega \setminus B(x,6r)$. Note that for all $q \in \partial B(x,6r)$ we clearly have

$$|A_{y,z}(q)| \leq c \leq \frac{c\,\omega^q(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}{\inf_{z\in B(x,6r)\cap\Omega}\omega^z_\Omega(B(x,6\delta^{-1}r))}.$$

Note also that $v_{x,y,z}$ is a harmomic function associated with a smooth boundary data, and, in particular, the fact that domain is Wiener regularity implies that $v_{x,y,z} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. Thus $A_{y,z}(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \partial\Omega \setminus B(x,5)$. Hence we can apply maximum principle (this will require a justification completely analogous to that at the end of the proof of Lemma 3.2) and obtain as desired (5.4).

□

5.3. **End of the proof Theorem 1.1 in the planar case** $n+1=2$**.** This section discusses modifications in the arguments of Section 4 pertinent to the planar case.

First of all, Lemma 4.1 continues to hold for $n = 1$ with the logarithmic potential U^μ defined as above. In its proof, one has to take

$$S(x) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{Cap}(A(x,r,2r)\cap\Omega^c)\,\log\frac{1}{r}\,\frac{dr}{r}.$$

The Kellogg's Lemma in the planar case also can be found in [La], p. 232 (note that the sets of zero logarithmic capacity and sets of zero Wiener capacity are identical, see, e.g., [La], p. 167). Theorem 3.1 of [La] also extends to the context of logarithmic potential (see Remark on p. 182 of [La]), and the rest of the argument of Lemma 4.1 is the same as in the higher dimensional case.

At this stage, the argument of Theorem 1.1 follows verbatim, with the only addition of a logarithmic factor $\log\frac{1}{r}$ in the integrals of capacitory expressions in the end of the proof.

REFERENCES

[AMT] J. Azzam, M. Mourgoglou, and X. Tolsa. *Rectifiability of harmonic measure in domains with porous boundaries.* arXiv:1505.06088

[BJ] C. Bishop and P. Jones, *Harmonic measure and arclength,* Ann. of Math. (2), 132 (1990), 511–547.

[Bo] J. Bourgain. *On the Hausdorff dimension of harmonic measure in higher dimension,* Invent. Math. 87 (1987), no 3, 477–483.

[Da] B.E. Dahlberg. *On the absolute continuity of elliptic measures.* Amer. J. Math., 108(5), 1119–1138, 1986.

[DJ] G. David and D. Jerison, *Lipschitz approximation to hypersurfaces, harmonic measure, and singular integrals.* Indiana Univ. Math. J., 39 (1990), no. 3, 831–845.

[DM] G. David and P. Mattila. *Removable sets for Lipschitz harmonic functions in the plane.* Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **16** (2000), no. 1, 137–215.

[GT] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order,* 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1983.

[He] L.L. Helms. *Potential theory, 2nd Ed.* Springer, London 2014.

[HM1] S. Hofmann and J.M. Martell. *Uniform rectifiability and harmonic measure I: uniform rectifiability implies Poisson kernels in L^p.* Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 47 (2014), no. 3, 577–654.

[HM2] S. Hofmann and J.M. Martell. *Uniform Rectifiability and harmonic measure IV: Ahlfors regularity plus Poisson kernels in L^p implies uniform rectifiability,* preprint, arXiv:1505.06499

[Ke] C.E. Kenig, *Harmonic analysis techniques for second order elliptic boundary value problems,* CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 83. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.

[La] N. S. Landkof. *Foundations of modern potential theory.* Translated from Russian by A. P. Dohovskoy. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 180. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

[Lv] M. Lavrent'ev. *Boundary problems in the theory of univalent functions.* Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), 32:135, 1963.

[NToV1] F. Nazarov, X. Tolsa and A. Volberg, *On the uniform rectifiability of AD-regular measures with bounded Riesz transform operator: the case of codimension 1,* Acta Math. 213 (2014), no. 2, 237–321.

[NToV2] F. Nazarov, X. Tolsa and A. Volberg, *The Riesz transform, rectifiability, and removability for Lipschitz harmonic functions.* Publ. Mat. 58 (2014), 517–532.

[RR] F. and M. Riesz, *Über die randwerte einer analtischen funktion,* Compte Rendues du Quatrième Congrès des Mathématiciens Scandinaves, Stockholm 1916, Almqvists and Wilksels, Upsala, 1920.

[Se] S. Semmes. *Analysis vs. geometry on a class of rectifiable hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{R}^n$. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 39(4), 1005–1035, 1990.

[To] X. Tolsa. *Rectifiable measures, square functions involving densities, and the Cauchy transform.* To appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.

[Wu] J.-M. Wu. *On singularity of harmonic measure in space.* Pacific J. Math., 121(2), 485–496, 1986.

[Z] W.P. Ziemer, *Some remarks on harmonic measure in space.* Pacific J.Math., 55, 629–637, 1974.

STEVE HOFMANN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA
E-mail address: `hofmanns@missouri.edu`

JOSÉ MARÍA MARTELL, INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS, C/ NICOLÁS CABRERA, 13-15, E-28049 MADRID, SPAIN
E-mail address: `chema.martell@icmat.es`

SVITLANA MAYBORODA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA
E-mail address: `svitlana@math.umn.edu`

XAVIER TOLSA, ICREA AND DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, EDIFICI C FACULTAT DE CIÈNCIES, 08193 BELLATERRA (BARCELONA), CATALONIA
E-mail address: `xtolsa@mat.uab.cat`

ALEXANDER VOLBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA
E-mail address: `volberg@math.msu.edu`